



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/724,450	12/01/2003	Yiling Xie	CIP2268A-TMI	9896
7590	09/20/2004		EXAMINER	
Raymond Y. Chan Suite 128 108 N. Ynez Ave. Monterey Park, CA 91754				DANG, HUNG XUAN
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2873	

DATE MAILED: 09/20/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/724,450	XIE, YILING	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 8/13/04.

2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All b) Some * c) None of:

1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____.

Art Unit: 2873

1. The Terminal Disclaimer filed on 8/13/04 is improper. The U.S. Patent No. 6,540,248 cited in the Terminal Disclaimer is in error, the corrected U.S. Patent No is 6,540,348. The new Terminal Disclaimer is required.

Double Patenting Rejection

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-20 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-20 of U.S. Patent No.

Art Unit: 2873

6,540,348. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both patent and this application are claiming the primary spectacle frame comprising a frame body for mounting a pair of lenses in position, wherein said frame body comprises a primary bridge connected between said two lenses, two nose supports extended from two inner sides of said lenses respectively, and two side extensions provided at two outer sides of said lenses for coupling a pair of temples respectively; each of said nose supports comprising a supporting member and an extension arm, having magnetic attraction ability, which has a distal end portion extended from said supporting member and a root end portion extended from said inner side of said respective lens; and said detachable shelter frame comprising a shelter frame for supporting two auxiliary lenses and a pair of magnetic attachment arrangements connected to said shelter frame, wherein each of said magnetic attachment arrangements comprises a supporting arm extending rearwardly from an inner side of said shelter frame towards said respective extension arm and a magnetic seat which is connected to said supporting arm and extended to magnetically attach' said respective extension arm of said primary spectacle frame so as to securely hold said shelter frame in front of said primary spectacle frame.

3. Claims 1-20 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-40 of U.S. Patent No. 6,783,233. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both patent and this application are claiming

the primary spectacle frame comprising a frame body for mounting a pair of lenses in position, wherein said frame body comprises a primary bridge connected between said two lenses, two nose supports extended from two inner sides of said lenses respectively, and two side extensions provided at two outer sides of said lenses for coupling a pair of temples respectively; each of said nose supports comprising a supporting member and an extension arm, having magnetic attraction ability, which has a distal end portion extended from said supporting member and a root end portion extended from said inner side of said respective lens; and said detachable shelter frame comprising a shelter frame for supporting two auxiliary lenses and a pair of magnetic attachment arrangements connected to said shelter frame, wherein each of said magnetic attachment arrangements comprises a supporting arm extending rearwardly from an inner side of said shelter frame towards said respective extension arm and a magnetic seat which is connected to said supporting arm and extended to magnetically attach' said respective extension arm of said primary spectacle frame so as to securely hold said shelter frame in front of said primary spectacle frame.

4. Claims 1-20 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-28 of U.S. Patent No. 6,761,449. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both patent and this application are claiming the primary spectacle frame comprising a frame body for mounting a pair of lenses in position, wherein said frame body comprises a primary bridge connected between said

two lenses, two nose supports extended from two inner sides of said lenses respectively, and two side extensions provided at two outer sides of said lenses for coupling a pair of temples respectively; each of said nose supports comprising a supporting member and an extension arm, having magnetic attraction ability, which has a distal end portion extended from said supporting member and a root end portion extended from said inner side of said respective lens; and said detachable shelter frame comprising a shelter frame for supporting two auxiliary lenses and a pair of magnetic attachment arrangements connected to said shelter frame, wherein each of said magnetic attachment arrangements comprises a supporting arm extending rearwardly from an inner side of said shelter frame towards said respective extension arm and a magnetic seat which is connected to said supporting arm and extended to magnetically attach' said respective extension arm of said primary spectacle frame so as to securely hold said shelter frame in front of said primary spectacle frame.

5. Claims 1-20 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-8 of U.S. Patent No. 6,729,723. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both patent and this application are claiming the primary spectacle frame comprising a frame body for mounting a pair of lenses in position, wherein said frame body comprises a primary bridge connected between said two lenses, two nose supports extended from two inner sides of said lenses respectively, and two side extensions provided at two outer sides of said lenses for

coupling a pair of temples respectively; each of said nose supports comprising a supporting member and an extension arm, having magnetic attraction ability, which has a distal end portion extended from said supporting member and a root end portion extended from said inner side of said respective lens; and said detachable shelter frame comprising a shelter frame for supporting two auxiliary lenses and a pair of magnetic attachment arrangements connected to said shelter frame, wherein each of said magnetic attachment arrangements comprises a supporting arm extending rearwardly from an inner side of said shelter frame towards said respective extension arm and a magnetic seat which is connected to said supporting arm and extended to magnetically attach' said respective extension arm of said primary spectacle frame so as to securely hold said shelter frame in front of said primary spectacle frame.

6. Claims 1-20 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-20 of U.S. Patent No. 6,382,787. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both patent and this application are claiming the primary spectacle frame comprising a frame body for mounting a pair of lenses in position, wherein said frame body comprises a primary bridge connected between said two lenses, two nose supports extended from two inner sides of said lenses respectively, and two side extensions provided at two outer sides of said lenses for coupling a pair of temples respectively; each of said nose supports comprising a supporting member and an extension arm, having magnetic attraction ability, which has

a distal end portion extended from said supporting member and a root end portion extended from said inner side of said respective lens; and said detachable shelter frame comprising a shelter frame for supporting two auxiliary lenses and a pair of magnetic attachment arrangements connected to said shelter frame, wherein each of said magnetic attachment arrangements comprises a supporting arm extending rearwardly from an inner side of said shelter frame towards said respective extension arm and a magnetic seat which is connected to said supporting arm and extended to magnetically attach' said respective extension arm of said primary spectacle frame so as to securely hold said shelter frame in front of said primary spectacle frame.

Provisional Rejection, Obviousness Type Double Patenting

7. Claims 1-20 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-20 of copending Application No. 10/648,126. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both applications are claiming the primary spectacle frame comprising a frame body for mounting a pair of lenses in position, wherein said frame body comprises a primary bridge connected between said two lenses, two nose supports extended from two inner sides of said lenses respectively, and two side extensions provided at two outer sides of said lenses for coupling a pair of temples respectively; each of said nose supports comprising a supporting member and an extension arm, having magnetic attraction ability, which has a distal end portion extended from said supporting member and a root end portion

Art Unit: 2873

extended from said inner side of said respective lens; and said detachable shelter frame comprising a shelter frame for supporting two auxiliary lenses and a pair of magnetic attachment arrangements connected to said shelter frame, wherein each of said magnetic attachment arrangements comprises a supporting arm extending rearwardly from an inner side of said shelter frame towards said respective extension arm and a magnetic seat which is connected to said supporting arm and extended to magnetically attach' said respective extension arm of said primary spectacle frame so as to securely hold said shelter frame in front of said primary spectacle frame..

This is a provisional obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Examiner Dang at telephone number (571) 272-2326.

9/04



HUNG DANG

PRIMARY EXAMINER

TC 2800